



EXAMPLE SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY
EXAMPLE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE
EXAMPLE SECTION 106 PROJECT REVIEW FORM

EXAMPLE

Submission of a completed Section 106 Project Review Form with adequate information and attachments constitutes a request for review pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended). Section 106 requires the South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office to review all projects that are federally funded, licensed, or assisted. We reserve the right to request more information if needed. Typed forms are preferred. **SUBMITTAL OF THIS FORM WITHOUT ALL REQUESTED INFORMATION WILL CAUSE REVIEW DELAYS.**

Section 106 regulations provide for a 30-day response time by the South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office from the date of receipt of complete information.

For projects requiring a license from the Federal Communications Commission, please use FCC Forms 620 or 621. **DO NOT USE THIS FORM.**

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

THIS IS A NEW SUBMITTAL

THIS IS MORE INFORMATION RELATING TO SHPO PROJECT # _____

1. PROJECT NAME: Pipeline and Tank Project #10BE012

2. FEDERAL AGENCY FUNDING, LICENSING, OR ASSISTING THE PROJECT

A. AGENCY NAME: Soil Conservation Service (SCS)

B. AGENCY CONTACT PERSON: Robert Smith

C. MAILING ADDRESS: 216 S. 4th Ave, Huron, SD 57364

D. EMAIL ADDRESS: Robert.Smith@SCS.Gov

E. TELEPHONE NUMBER: (605) 555-5555

3. STATE AGENCY FUNDING, LICENSING, OR ASSISTING THE PROJECT, IF APPLICABLE

A. AGENCY NAME: N/A

B. AGENCY CONTACT PERSON: _____

C. MAILING ADDRESS: _____

D. EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

E. TELEPHONE NUMBER: _____

F. IF THIS IS A GRANT PROGRAM, PLEASE INCLUDE THE NAME OF THE PROGRAM (FOR EXAMPLE, CDBG OR SRF): _____

4. CONSULTANT CONTACT PERSON, IF APPLICABLE

A. COMPANY NAME: N/A

B. CONTACT PERSON: _____

C. MAILING ADDRESS: _____

D. EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

E. TELEPHONE NUMBER: _____



EXAMPLE SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY
EXAMPLE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE
EXAMPLE SECTION 106 PROJECT REVIEW FORM

7. PROJECT PLANS

Plans, drawings, engineering specifications etc. should be included to help explain the project, but these cannot replace the above verbal description. If new construction is involved, elevation drawings and plans should be included.

Are plans, drawings, engineering specifications, or similar documents attached to this form?
 YES or NO **X**

8. PHOTOGRAPHS

Provide several clear, original photographs of the project location. Also, include photographs of every affected buildings/structures, including an overall front view of each structure and other views necessary to describe fully the structures and the project. Streetscape photographs of surrounding buildings and structures should also be included. Photographs should be color and can be either printed or digital images submitted on a CD. Printed digital photographs should have a high dpi and clear resolution. Photographs should also either be labeled or include a key.

NOTE: Projects submitted with insufficient photographs will cause review delays.

Are photographs that clearly show the project location attached to this form?
 YES **X** or NO

9. PROJECT AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECT (APE)

The APE consists of the geographic area or areas within which a project may directly or indirectly, cause changes in the character or use of historic properties. In most instances, the APE is not simply the project's physical boundaries or right-of-way. The APE also includes all ancillary facilities such as access roads, placement of utilities, additional outbuildings, fences, material borrow areas, staging areas, etc. The APE may include visual and audible effects.

Highlight the APE on a localized map.


A. Is a map highlighting the APE attached to this form? YES **X** or NO

B. Provide a written description of the APE. Describe the steps taken to identify the APE, and justify why the APE boundaries were chosen. If the APE has been previously disturbed, include an explanation of the previous ground disturbance.

The project area of potential effects includes the pipeline, tanks, access road, and staging area.

- 1. The pipeline will be approximately 4,550 feet long by 100 feet wide and six feet deep.**
- 2. One hundred feet around each tank will be assessed as the tanks will encourage cattle to congregate in specific areas.**
- 3. The access road will be 200 feet long and 15 feet wide.**
- 4. The staging area for heavy equipment and material will be approximately 100 feet X 100 feet.**

The total project area to be disturbed will be two acres.



EXAMPLE SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY
EXAMPLE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE
EXAMPLE SECTION 106 PROJECT REVIEW FORM

EXAMPLE

II. IDENTIFY HISTORIC PROPERTIES

10. IDENTIFICATION EFFORTS (See 36 CFR 800.4)

Identification of historic properties may include, but is not limited, any of the following identification methods. Check which steps were taken to identify historic properties in the APE. Check all that apply and describe the results.

A. RECORD SEARCH

Conducted a record search through the Archaeological Research Center in Rapid City. Record searches are available for a fee by calling 605.394.1936. This will include a search of all previously-surveyed archaeological sites and structures within the APE and within one mile of the APE.

If a record search was conducted, is a copy of the results attached to this form? YES or NO

B. ON-THE-GROUND SURVEY

Survey by an archaeologist and/or an architectural historian of project area not previously surveyed. Survey type will depend on the scope of the project. A list of professionals is available at <http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/TechAssist/ConsultantsContractors.aspx>. Guidelines for surveys and reports are available at: http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/PresLaws/r&c_guidelines.pdf and <http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/OtherServices/HSArchitecturalSurveyManual2006.pdf>.

If a survey was conducted, is a copy of the survey report and/or survey forms attached to this form?
YES or NO

C. SEARCHED THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES DATABASE

This database is available online at: <http://nrhp.focus.nps.gov/>. NOTE: This database only includes properties listed on the National Register of Historic Places. Properties that are *eligible* for the National Register must also be taken into consideration.

If the National Register database was searched, is a printout of any results attached to this form?
YES or NO

D. BACKGROUND RESEARCH

Please describe sources reviewed and findings of research. This could include such things as reviewing county or city history books or conducting research at a local historical society, research facility, or county courthouse.

E. ORAL HISTORY INTERVIEWS

Please list who was interviewed and describe what was learned through the interviews.

F. CONSULTATION

Please describe who was consulted and the results of the consultation. Examples include tribes, historic preservation commissions, the public, and local historical societies.

Sent certified letters to each Tribal Chairperson and Tribal Historic Preservation Officer/ Cultural Resource contact in South Dakota on 1/10/2010. Followed up with phone calls to Tribal Chairperson and Tribal Historic Preservation Officer/ Cultural Resource contact 2/1/2010.

G. OTHER

Describe any other efforts undertaken to identify historic properties and the results of those efforts.

Spoke with property owner about known resources in the project area. Property owner indicated stone circles located approximately 1/2 mile from project area. The site was not recorded because it was outside of the project area.

11. HISTORIC PROPERTIES FINDING

Based on the efforts described above to identify historic properties, please choose one finding for the project. There are (mark one):

Historic Properties Present in the APE

No Historic Properties Present in the APE

III. ASSESS EFFECTS

12. DETERMINATION OF EFFECT

The federal agency must submit a determination of effect for the SHPO to review this project. Based on the information provided above, the responsible agency official should make a determination of effect on historic properties for this project. Please select and mark one of the following determinations, then explain the basis for your decision

No Historic Properties Affected [36 CFR 800.4(d)(1)] – For a determination of no historic properties affected, the agency official finds no historic properties present or that the undertaking will have no effect upon historic properties as defined in Sec. 800.16(i). Please explain.

No archaeology sites were identified during the on the ground survey of the project area. Six buildings were identified during the record search, but all have been determined to be not eligible for listing on the National Register. The project includes underground pipeline and low profiles tanks.

Adverse Effect [36 CFR Part 800.5(a)(1)] – For a determination of adverse effect, the undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association. Adverse effects may include reasonably foreseeable effects that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance, or be cumulative. Please explain.

No Adverse Effect [36 CFR Part 800.5(b)] – For a determination of no adverse effect, the undertaking is modified or conditions are imposed to avoid adverse effects to a historic property. Please explain.



EXAMPLE SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY
EXAMPLE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE
EXAMPLE SECTION 106 PROJECT REVIEW FORM

EXAMPLE

The responsible federal agency official must sign this form here prior to submitting it to the SHPO. Projects received without an appropriate signature will cause review delays. **This must be an original signature and not electronic.**

SIGNATURE

Robert Smith

DATE July 15, 2010

NAME Robert Smith

TITLE Project Manager

AGENCY Soil Conservation Service

FOR SHPO USE ONLY. DO NOT WRITE OR INSERT ANYTHING HERE.

Please print and mail completed form to:

Review and Compliance Coordinator
South Dakota State Historical Society
900 Governors Drive
Pierre, SD 57501

Questions about Section 106 can be directed to:

Paige Hoskinson Olson
Review and Compliance Coordinator
Paige.HoskinsonOlson@state.sd.us
605.773.6004

OR

Amy Rubingh
Review and Compliance Archaeologist
Amy.Rubingh@state.sd.us
605.773.8370

Questions about Section 106 projects on existing buildings or structures can be directed to:

Jason Haug
Director of Historic Preservation
Jason.Haug@state.sd.us
605.773.6296

Project information submitted cannot be returned. This documentation is kept on file at the South Dakota State Historical Society. We review faxed and electronic submissions in the same manner as any other submission and with the same considerations for clarity and completeness. However, original documents with original signature

must follow all faxed and electronic submissions. The submission of incomplete, unclear, or confusing information may result in unnecessary delays in the review process until adequate information is obtained.

Additional Resources


1. South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office <http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/>
 - a. Link to National and State Register Listed Properties:
<http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/NatReg/NatReg.aspx>
 - b. Historic Contexts:
history.sd.gov/Preservation/OtherServices/SHPODocs.aspx
 - c. *Guidelines for Cultural Resource Surveys and Survey Reports 2005*:
http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/PresLaws/r&c_guidelines.pdf
2. Advisory Council on Historic Preservation: www.achp.gov
 - a. Link to National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 as amended
 - b. 36 CFR Part 800 – Protection of Historic Properties
3. National Park Service: www.nr.nps.gov/
 - a. National Register of Historic Places
 - b. Publications (National Register Bulletins, Preservation Briefs, etc.):
www.nps.gov/history/publications.htm
4. Archaeological Research Center: history.sd.gov/Archaeology/ or 605.394.1936
 - a. Record Search Information
5. State Archives: history.sd.gov/Archives/ or 605.773.3804
 - a. Historic photographs
 - b. Research material

EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

Pipeline and Tank Project #10BE012



EXAMPLE


EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

Pictures of Project Area
Pipeline and Tank Project #10BE012



Looking North



EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

Looking West



Looking South



Looking East

EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE



Project Area



EXAMPLE

900 Governors Drive | Pierre, SD 57501-2217
Phone 605-773-3458 | Fax 605-773-6041 | www.history.sd.gov

EXAMPLE

SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Department of Tourism and State Development

03/01/2010

Mr. Robert Smith
Soil Conservation Service
216 S. 4th Ave
Huron, SD 57364

Pipeline and Tank Project #10BE012 Broadlands Quadrangle T111N-R62E-Sec. 24

Within Project Area		Source/Identification	Eligibility
Site	None		
One Mile Radius			
Site	BE-000-00101	ESD-0020 Louise 2005	Not Eligible
	BE-000-00122	ESD-0020 Louise 2005	Not Eligible
	BE-000-00154	ESD-0020 Louise 2005	Not Eligible
	BE-000-00155	ESD-0020 Louise 2005	Not Eligible
	BE-000-00157	ESD-0020 Louise 2005	Not Eligible
	BE-000-00159	ESD-0020 Louise 2005	Not Eligible

This concludes your archaeological record search and I have enclosed an aerial of the Hand quadrangle map showing the site, survey and structure locations. State Historic Preservation guidelines require listing all sites, structures and surveys within a mile of a project area. Researchers/contractors must be aware that lack of sites or surveys at a particular location does not mean the project site may not need a Class III archaeological resources survey by a qualified archaeologist. The SHPO has set an arbitrary date of 1982 as the cut-off for previous surveys to be considered valid and not require a new survey. This arbitrary date does not grandfather in inadequate surveys. The SHPO has also established a policy that a file search is valid for six months prior to the submission of the report.

The purpose of the Level 1 archaeological records search is for information purposes only and does not constitute compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended). **This information must be submitted for review to Paige Hoskinson Olson, Review and Compliance Coordinator, Office of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), 900 Governors Drive, Pierre, SD, 57501**

Sincerely,

Betty Smith




Department of
Tourism & State
Development

Office of Tourism TravelSD.com
Governor's Office of Economic Development SDreadytowork.com
Tribal Government Relations SDtribalrelations.com
Arts Council artscouncil.sd.gov
State Historical Society history.sd.gov
Housing Development Authority SDHDA.org

2010
INITIATIVE

2010initiative.com

South Dakota Archaeological Research Center GIS Database



Warning! This data is preliminary and not intended for public use or display!

EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

A LEVEL III CULTURAL RESOURCE
REPORT FOR A
PIPELINE AND TANK PROJECT

T111N; R62E; Section 24

Hand County, South Dakota

August 10, 2009

SCS Report #10BE012

By

Shelley Campbell